



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,035	12/22/2004	Sandra De Meyer	TIP0014 US	7001
277 77 7	590 09/20/2005		EXAM	INER
PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			WANG, L	OUISE Z
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	_

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_U					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,035	DE MEYER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Louise Wang	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 L	December 2004.				
· · -	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
•) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan ction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/519,035

Art Unit: 1648

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Preliminary Amendment, filed 22 December 2004, is acknowledged.

Claims 1-20 are pending.

It is noted that claims 3 and 4 are missing a space between the words "strain" and "comprising". Appropriate correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1 and 2, drawn to the special technical feature of a computer system comprising at least one database correlating the presence of at least one mutation in a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) protease and a change in susceptibility of at least one strain of HIV to a protease inhibitor, comprising at least one record corresponding to a correlation between at least one mutation selected from 41S, 41T, 41I, 41K, 41G and 70E in said protease, and treatment with at least a protease inhibitor.

Group II, claims 3, 4, 9, and 10, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for evaluating the effectiveness of a protease inhibitor as an antiviral therapy for a patient infected with at least one mutant HIV strain or for evaluating a change in viral drug susceptibility, comprising:

- (i) collecting a sample from an HIV-infected patient;
- (ii) determining whether the sample comprises a nucleic acid encoding HIV protease having at least one mutation selected from 41 S, 41T, 41I, 41K, 41G and 70E;

Application/Control Number: 10/519,035 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

(iii) correlating the presence of said at least one mutation of step (ii) to a change in effectiveness of said protease inhibitor or in viral drug susceptibility.

Group III, claims 5 and 6, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for identifying a drug effective against mutant HIV protease, comprising:

- (i) providing a nucleic acid comprising mutant HIV protease comprising at least one mutation chosen from 41S, 41T, 41I, 41K, 41G and 70E;
- (ii) recombining said nucleic acid comprising mutant HIV protease of step (i) into a pro-viral nucleic acid deleted for said sequence to generate a recombinant HIV virus;
- (iii) determining a phenotypic response to said drug for said HIV recombinant virus; and
- (iv) identifying a drug effective against mutant HIV based on the phenotypic response of step (iii).

Group IV, claims 7, 8, 13, and 14, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for identifying a drug effective against mutant HIV protease, comprising:

- (i) providing a HIV protease comprising at least one mutation chosen from 41S, 41T, 41I, 41K, 41G and 70E;
- (ii) determining the activity of said drug on said HIV protease;
- (iii) determining the activity of said drug on wild type HIV protease;
- (iv) determining the ratio of the activity determined in step (iii) over the activity determined in step (ii);
- (v) identifying an effective drug against mutant HIV based on the ratio of step (iv).

Group V, claims 11 and 12, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for evaluating a change in viral drug susceptibility, comprising:

- (i) providing an HIV comprising a protease comprising at least one mutation chosen from 41S, 41T, 41I, 41K, 41G and 70E;
- (ii) determining a phenotypic response of said virus to said drug; and
- (iv) correlating the phenotypic response of step (ii) to a change in viral drug susceptibility.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,035

Art Unit: 1648

Group VI, claims 15 and 16, drawn to the special technical feature of a vector for performing phenotypic analysis comprising an HIV sequence having at least one mutation in the HIV protease gene chosen from 41S, 41T, 41I, 41K, 41G and 70E.

Group VII, claims 17 and 18, drawn to the special technical feature an isolated and purified HIV protease sequence having at least one mutation selected from 41S, 41T, 41I, 41K, 41G and 70E, wherein said at least one mutation in said sequence correlates to a fold change in susceptibility towards a HIV protease inhibitor.

Group VIII, claims 19 and 20, drawn to the special technical feature of an isolated and purified oligonucleotide comprising a HIV protease sequence of 5 to 100 bases for in vitro diagnosis of viral drug resistance, characterized in that said oligonucleotide comprises at least one mutation chosen from 41S, 41T, 41I, 41K, 41G and 70E.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

As set forth above, each group requires a special technical feature that is not present in any of the other groups.

Furthermore, the special technical feature in Group I is a computer system comprising at least one database correlating the presence of at least one mutation in a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) protease and a change in susceptibility of at least one strain of HIV to a protease inhibitor, comprising at least one record corresponding to a correlation between at least one mutation selected from 41S, 41T, 41I, 41K, 41G and 70E in said protease, and treatment with at least a protease inhibitor. However, it is not an improvement over the prior art of Shafer *et al.* (Jan, 1999).

Shafer teaches the HIV RT and Protease Sequence Database with all published HIV protease sequences linked to data about the source of the sequence sample and

the anti-HIV drug treatment history of the individual from whom the isolate was obtained (see entire document, in particular, Figure 2). The reference specifically teaches using the database for functional and clinical correlates of HIV protease sequence changes. See Medical Relevance.

Since Applicant's inventions are not a contribution over the prior art, they lack a special technical feature, they cannot be said to have unity of invention.

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Irrespective of which Group is elected, Applicant is required to select one mutation in HIV protease.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/519,035

Art Unit: 1648

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Joint Inventorship

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/519,035 Page 7

Art Unit: 1648

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louise Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902.

L. Wang Patent Examiner 8 September, 2005

JEFFREY STUCKER PRIMARY EXAMINER